COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO ORGANIZED AUTOMOBILE FRAUD ACTIVITY INTERDICTION GRANT (URBAN AUTOMOBILE FRAUD GRANT) STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN GRANT BALANCE

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2010

COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO ORGANIZED AUTOMOBILE FRAUD ACTIVITY INTERDICTION GRANT (URBAN AUTOMOBILE FRAUD GRANT)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
Independent Auditor's Report	1
Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Grant Balance	3
Notes to the Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Grant Balance	4
Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	7
Schedule of Findings	9
Schedule of Prior Year Findings	10



PARTNERS RONALD A LEVY, CPA CRAIG A HARTZHEIM, CPA HADLEY Y HUI, CPA

9107 WILSHIRE BLVD., SUITE 400 BEVERLY HILLS, CA 90210 TEL: 310.273.2745 FAX: 310.273.1689 www.mlhcpas.com

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

Board of Supervisors County of San Diego San Diego, California

We have audited the accompanying Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Grant Balance of the Organized Automobile Fraud Activity Interdiction Grant ("Urban Automobile Fraud Grant") of the County of San Diego in accordance with the State of California's Department of Insurance for the Urban Automobile Fraud Grant for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2010. This statement is the responsibility of the County of San Diego's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Grant Balance based on our audit. The prior year comparative information has been derived from the 2009 Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Grant Balance and, in our report dated October 23, 2009, we expressed an unqualified opinion on the respective Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Grant Balance.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, and in accordance with the State of California's Department of Insurance for the Urban Automobile Fraud Grant. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Grant Balance is free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statement. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

The accompanying Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Grant Balance was prepared for the purpose of complying with the audit requirements of the State of California's Insurance Code, as described in Note 1 and is not intended to be a complete presentation of the County's revenues and expenditures.

In our opinion, the Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Grant Balance referred to above presents fairly, in all material respects, the grant revenues and expenditures of the Urban Automobile Fraud Grant of the County of San Diego in accordance with the State of California Department of Insurance Urban Automobile Fraud Grant, for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2010 and in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

In accordance with Government Auditing Standards, we have also issued our report dated November 30, 2010, on our consideration of the County's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting and compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards and should be considered in assessing the results of our audit.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the County's management, Board of Supervisors, and the State of California Insurance Commission and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Mus, Kery v skatskiii Moss, Levy & Hartzheim, LLP

Beverly Hills, CA November 30, 2010

COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO ORGANIZED AUTOMOBILE FRAUD ACTIVITY INTERDICTION GRANT (URBAN AUTOMOBILE FRAUD GRANT) STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES,

AND CHANGES IN GRANT BALANCE

FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2010

With Comparative Totals for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2009

	2010	2009
Revenues:		
California Department of Insurance funding	\$ 1,107,345	¢ 717 742
Interest revenue	, , , , ,	\$ 717,743
Total Revenues	1,622	3,445
Total Revenues	1,108,967	721,188
Expenditures:		
Salaries and Benefits:		
Staff salaries	585,640	446,776
Staff overtime	521	1,091
Staff benefits	327,659	238,508
Total Salaries and Benefits	913,820	686,375
	713,020	000,373
Operating Expenditures:		
Annual software license		164
Audit fees	1,950	2,000
Books and publications	371	5 5 9
Bus pass and local mileage	2,804	1,450
Communications	2,258	1,107
Data processing supplies and equipment maintenance		1,273
Witness expense	443	
Facilities management	4,638	3,523
Equipment maintenance	278	403
Membership fees	1,658	1,263
Minor equipment	585	63
Miscellaneous		14
Office expense	42	243
Parking	8,686	5,992
Postage and printing	1	128
Liability insurance	3,709	4,046
Training and registration	1,050	1,050
Travel	5,639	3,238
Utilities	3,308	2,555
Vehicle maintenance and fuel	6,066	5,961
Vehicle	-	25,545
Total Operating Expenditures	43,486	60,577
Indirect costs - Note 1	58,564	44,678
Total Expenditures	***************************************	
Total Expenditures	1,015,870	791,630
Excess of Revenues over (under) Expenditures	93,097	(70,442)
Grant Balance, beginning of fiscal year	82,334	152,776
Prior Period Adjustments	2,461	
Grant Balance, beginning of fiscal year, restated	84,795	152,776
Grant Balance, end of fiscal year - Note 2	\$ 177,892	\$ 82,334
,	- 1,302	ψ 02,00T

COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO ORGANIZED AUTOMOBILE FRAUD ACTIVITY INTERDICTION GRANT (URBAN AUTOMOBILE FRAUD GRANT) NOTES TO THE STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN GRANT BALANCE For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2010

Note 1- SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

General

The Urban Automobile Fraud Grant is administered by the San Diego County District Attorney's Office, the designated automobile insurance fraud prosecutor. Grant funds are received to pay for the costs of investigation and prosecution of urban automobile insurance fraud within the program area. The program is regulated by Section 1872.8 of the California Insurance Code, the guidelines in the Request for Application, Program Regulations, and the County Plan.

Basis of Presentation

Grant financial transactions are specifically identified by organizational accounting units within Oracle Financial Software System, and are summarized on computerized worksheets. The San Diego County District Attorney's Office receives funds from the State of California Department of Insurance, which are deposited into the District Attorney's Insurance Fraud trust account with the County of San Diego Treasurer. All interest earned on funds held in the trust account are required to be used for grant purposes. Expenditures are reimbursed by transferring funds from the trust account to a revenue account. This is done periodically by journal entries, which are prepared by the District Attorney's Office. The journal entries are based on expenditure information reported in a separate budget account for the Grant.

Basis of Accounting

Funds received under the Grant program have been recorded within the special revenue funds of the County. The County utilizes the modified accrual basis of accounting. The accompanying Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Grant Balance has been prepared accordingly.

Revenues shown on the statement as of June 30, 2010 have all been received from the State Department of Insurance. Expenditures are generally recognized when the related fund liability is incurred.

Financial Schedule Presentation

The schedules present only the financial activities of the County's Grant and are not intended to present fairly the financial position or changes in financial position of the County in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO

ORGANIZED AUTOMOBILE FRAUD ACTIVITY INTERDICTION GRANT (URBAN AUTOMOBILE FRAUD GRANT)

NOTES TO THE STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN GRANT BALANCE

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2010

Note 1- SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

Salary and Benefit Expenditures

All District Attorney personnel funded by the Grant are compensated under Memorandum of Understanding Agreements with the County, and receive the standard benefit plans available to non-grant funded personnel. The following table illustrates the staff classifications and the standard benefits plan for the personnel classifications assigned to the Grant:

Total number
employed during the
fiscal year but not
negecorily

Classification	necessarily concurrently	Full/Part Time	Standard County Benefits Plan
Deputy District Attorney IV	2	Full Time	District Attorney
Deputy District Attorney III	1	Full Time	District Attorney
D.A. Investigator IV	0.65	Full Time	District Attorney Investigator
D.A. Investigator III	1	Full Time	District Attorney Investigator
D.A. Investigator II	1	Full Time	District Attorney Investigator
Criminal Legal Secretary II	0.5	Full Time	Non-Management
Legal Support Assistant I	0.5	Full Time	Non-Management

Indirect Expenditures

The Grant provides for indirect costs as follows:

		2009		
Staff Salaries Rate		5,640 0.00%	\$	446,776 10.00%
Indirect Costs		8,564	\$	44,678

Note 2 - RESERVED GRANT BALANCE

The District Attorney requested from the State of California Department of Insurance to reserve \$179,841 of the Urban Automobile Fraud Grant funds for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2010. At the end of the fiscal year, only \$177,892 was available to reserve.

Note 3 - EQUIPMENT AND VEHICLE PURCHASES

Based on the approval granted by the State of California Department of Insurance, the District Attorney was allowed to use Grant funds of \$585 to replace/purchase minor equipment.

Note 4 - COMPARATIVE DATA

Comparative total data for the prior fiscal year has been presented in the accompanying statement in order to provide an understanding of changes in the Urban Automobile Fraud Grant's operations.

COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO ORGANIZED AUTOMOBILE FRAUD ACTIVITY INTERDICTION GRANT (URBAN AUTOMOBILE FRAUD GRANT) NOTES TO THE STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN GRANT BALANCE For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2010

Note 5 - PRIOR PERIOD ADJUSTMENTS

The adjustment amount of \$2,461 is to adjust prior year's recorded transactions that were revised based on a review of the equipment and minor equipment transactions and the inventory listing.



PARTNERS RONALD A LEVY, CPA CRAIG A HARTZHEIM, CPA HADLEY Y HUI, CPA 9107 WILSHIRE BLVD., SUITE 400 BEVERLY HILLS, CA 90210 TEL: 310.273.2745 FAX: 310.273.1689 www.mlhcpas.com

REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

Board of Supervisors County of San Diego San Diego, California

We have audited the accompanying Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Grant Balance of the Organized Automobile Fraud Activity Interdiction Grant ("Urban Automobile Fraud Grant") of the County of San Diego for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2010, and have issued our report thereon dated November 30, 2010. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit, we considered the County's internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the County's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the County's internal control over financial reporting.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the financial statements will not be prevented or detected and corrected on a timely basis.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that might be deficiencies, significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that we consider to be material weaknesses, as defined above.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Grant Balances is free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. Such provisions include those provisions identified in the *California Insurance Code Section 1872.8*, the guidelines in the *Request for Application*, Program Regulations, and the *County Plan*. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the County's Board of Supervisors, management, and the State of California Insurance Commission and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Moss, Levy & Hartzheim, LLP

Beverly Hills, CA November 30, 2010

COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO ORGANIZED AUTOMOBILE FRAUD ACTIVITY INTERDICTION GRANT (URBAN AUTOMOBILE FRAUD GRANT) SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2010

No findings noted.

COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO ORGANIZED AUTOMOBILE FRAUD ACTIVITY INTERDICTION GRANT (URBAN AUTOMOBILE FRAUD GRANT) SCHEDULE OF PRIOR YEAR FINDINGS For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2010

No findings noted.